S. B. Civil Second Appeal No.96/2006
(LRs. of Bhikha Ram v. Ugam Raj & Another)

Date of Order: :22-01-2007

HON'BLE MR. PRAKASH TATIA, J.

Mr.Arvind Samdariya, for appellant.

Heard learned counsel for the appellant.

The appellant has challenged the judgment and decree passed by the trial Court dated 3rd August, 2002 and the Appellate judgment and decree dated 14th October, 2005, by which the suit of the plaintiff for cancellation of the sale deed has been dismissed.

It appears from the facts of the case that the land was sold by defendant No.2 – brother of the plaintiffs No.1 to 3 to the defendant No.1 and defendants No.1 to 3 also put their signatures on the sale-deed, still they have challenged the sale deed of the defendant No.2 their own brother. The two courts below concurrently held that that the property has not been obtained by the defendant purchaser by any fraud or deceitful means.

In view of the above, I do not find that any substantial question of law is involved in this appeal. Hence, the apeal is dismissed.

(PRAKASH TATIA), J.